# THE EUROPEAN DIRECTORATE FOR THE QUALITY OF MEDICINES & HEALTHCARE (EDQM)



European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines & HealthCare

#### COUNCIL OF EUROPE



CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

# Module 3: Impurity Control in the European Pharmacopoeia

# Ph. Eur. Training Webinar

5 December 2024, Strasbourg, France

### Aurélie BARTH European Pharmacopoeia Department



<sup>2</sup> ©EDQM, Council of Europe, 2024. All rights reserved. All rights reserved.



- ✓ Which impurities are controlled?
- ✓ General monographs and texts
- ✓ Control of organic impurities
  - General texts
  - Impurity identification
  - System suitability test
  - Response/Correction factors
- ✓ Specification setting
- ✓ Validation/Implementation
- ✓ Water/Residual solvents
- ✓ Inorganics/Elemental impurities
- ✓ Genotoxic impurities



# **Control of impurities in Ph. Eur.**





<sup>4</sup> ©EDQM, Council of Europe, 2024. All rights reserved. All rights reserved.

# **General monographs and texts**

General monographs and individual monographs are complementary. If a provision of a general monograph does not apply to a particular product, this is expressly stated in the individual monograph.



✓A general monograph describes requirements that have to be fulfilled, not only for substances or preparations covered by an individual monograph but for all substances or preparations within the scope of the Definition section.



# **General monographs and texts**





# **Control of impurities in Ph. Eur.**





7 ©EDQM, Council of Europe, 2024. All rights reserved. All rights reserved.

# **Analytical techniques for organic impurities**

### HPLC, UHPLC

with different detection techniques e.g. UV/VIS, RI, MS, Fluorescence, ELSD, MALS, CAD

TLC, HPTLC

mainly in the field of

herbals

#### UV

e.g. absorbance ratios in riboflavin

#### Chemical reactions

e.g. test for free acids in testosterone esters

GC

with different detection techniques e.g. flame ionisation, MS



SST: reference solution	(
R: reference solution (a)	

1-5: test solutions from different batches of *C. laevigata* 6-8: test solutions from different batches of *C. azarolus* 

R1/4: reference solution (b)

Figure 1432.-4 – HPTLC chromatogram for identification test C of hawthorn leaf and flower (C. laevigata and C. azarolus)



# **Example:** *Raltegravir potassium (2887)*

#### Reference to general chapters: 2.2.29. ♦ Reference to 2.2.46.

#### TESTS

#### Related substances. Liquid chromatography (2.2.29).

Solvent mixture: acetonitrile R, water R (25:75 V/V).

Test solution. Dissolve 25.0 mg of the substance to be examined in 100 mL of the solvent mixture using sonication for 5 min. Add about 140 mL of the solvent mixture then dilute to 250.0 mL with the solvent mixture.

Reference solution (a). Dissolve 25.0 mg of raltegravir potassium CRS in 100 mL of the solvent mixture using sonication for 5 min. Add about 140 mL of the solvent mixture then dilute to 250.0 mL with the solvent mixture.

Reference solution (b). Dilute 1.0 mL of the test solution to 100.0 mL with the solvent mixture. Dilute 1.0 mL of this solution to 10.0 mL with the solvent mixture.

Reference solution (c). Dissolve 2 mg of raltegravir impurity E CRS in the solvent mixture and dilute to 20.0 mL with the solvent mixture. Dilute 1.0 mL of the solution to 50.0 mL with reference solution (a).

Reference solution (d). In order to prepare impurity C in situ, dissolve 20 mg of the substance to be examined in a 40 g/L solution of sodium hydroxide R and dilute to 10 mL with the same solvent. Stir the solution for 30 min. To 5 mL of the solution add 5 mL of a 103 g/L solution of hydrochloric acid R and dilute to 50 mL with the solvent mixture.

Reference solution (e). Dissolve 5 mg of raltegravir for peak identification CRS (containing impurities F and G) in 20 mL of the solvent mixture using sonication for 5 min. Add about 25 mL of the solvent mixture then dilute to 50 mL with the solvent mixture.

#### System suitability test

Identification of impurities: use the chromatogram obtained with reference solution (d) to identify the peak due to impurity C; use the chromatogram obtained with reference solution (c) to identify the peak due to impurity E; use the chromatogram supplied with raltegravir for peak identification CRS and the chromatogram obtained with reference solution (e) to identify the peaks due to impurities F and G.

Relative retention with reference to raltegravir (retention time = about 10 min): impurity C = about 0.7; impurity E = about 0.95; impurity G = about 1.1; impurity F = about 1.15.

System suitability: reference solution (c):

 resolution: minimum 1.5 between the peaks due to impurity E and raltegravir.

Calculation of percentage contents:

- correction factor: multiply the peak area of impurity C by 1.6;
- for each impurity, use the concentration of raltegravir potassium in reference solution (b).

#### Limits:

- impurity C: maximum 0.3 per cent;
- impurities E, F, G: for each impurity, maximum 0.15 per cent;
- unspecified impurities: for each impurity, maximum 0.10 per cent;

Acceptance criteria

- total: maximum 0.5 per cent;
- reporting threshold: 0.05 per cent.

Transparency list

#### IMPURITIES

#### Specified impurities: C, E, F, G.

Other detectable impurities (the following substances would, if present at a sufficient level, be detected by one or other of the tests in the monograph. They are limited by the general acceptance criterion for other/unspecified impurities and/or by the general monograph Substances for pharmaceutical use (2034). It is therefore not necessary to identify these impurities for demonstration of compliance. See also 5.10. Control of impurities in substances for pharmaceutical use): A, B, D, H.



A. 2-(2-aminopropan-2-yl)-N-[(4-fluorophenyl)methyl]-5-hydroxy-1-methyl-6-oxo-1,6-dihydropyrimidine-4carboxamide,



B. 2-[2-[(E)-[(dimethylamino)methylidene]amino]propan-2-yl]-N-[(4-fluorophenyl)methyl]-5-hydroxy-1-methyl-6oxo-1,6-dihydropyrimidine-4-carboxamide,





#### 9

# **General monographs and texts**

2034 Substances for pharmaceutical use Implementation of ICH Q3A which becomes legally binding. "Unless otherwise prescribed, organic impurities in active substances are to be reported, identified wherever possible, and qualified as indicated in Table 2034.-1. or in Table 2034.-2 for peptides obtained by chemical synthesis."

Table 20341. – Reporting, identification and qualification of organic impurities in active substances						
Use Maximum daily dose		Reporting threshold	ldentification threshold	Qualification threshold		
Human use or human and veterinary use	≤ 2 g/day	> 0.05 per cent	> 0.10 per cent or a daily intake of > 1.0 mg (whichever is the lower)	> 0.15 per cent or a daily intake of > 1.0 mg (whichever is the lower)		
Human use or human and veterinary use	> 2 g/day	> 0.03 per cent	> 0.05 per cent	> 0.05 per cent		
Veterinary use only	Not applicable	> 0.10 per cent	> 0.20 per cent	> 0.50 per cent		
Table	20342. – Reporting, identifical	tion and qualification of organi	ic impurities in peptides obtained by chemica	al synthesis		
Reporting		Identification		Qualification		
threshold threshold		threshold	threshold			
> 0.1 per cent		> 0.5 per cent	> 1.0 per cent			

*5.10* Control of impurities in substances for pharmaceutical use Basis for monographs and impurities control
 Terminology

✤ Interpretation of related substances tests

↔ Other aspects of impurities control

Decision tree to help the users

How to interpret general acceptance criteria for *Impurities* in the monographs. In "older" monographs: "any other impurity", "other impurities", "any impurity", "any spot", "any band", etc.

> pean Directorale Direction europérine of Medicines Jurnécionnet & Mediticae Saisa desanté

ICH Q3A R2 "Impurities in new drug substances"

# **Organic impurities in Ph. Eur.**

#### **Specified impurity**

# Other detectable impurities

#### **Unspecified impurity**

Defined in *5.10:* "An impurity that is individually listed and limited with a specific acceptance criterion in a monograph. A specified impurity can be either identified or unidentified."

Defined in *5.10:* "Potential impurities with a defined structure that are known to be detected by the tests in a monograph but not known to be normally present above the identification threshold in substances used in medicinal products that have been authorised by the competent authorities of Parties to the Convention. They are unspecified impurities and are thus limited by a general acceptance criterion."

Defined in *5.10:* "An impurity that is limited by a general acceptance criterion and not individually listed with its own acceptance criterion."

Limits:

- *impurity C*: maximum 0.3 per cent;
- *impurities E, F, G*: for each impurity, maximum 0.15 per cent;
- unspecified impurities: for each impurity, maximum 0.10 per cent;
- total: maximum 0.5 per cent;
- *reporting threshold*: 0.05 per cent.

#### IMPURITIES

#### Specified impurities: C, E, F, G.

Other detectable impurities (the following substances would, if present at a sufficient level, be detected by one or other of the tests in the monograph. They are limited by the general acceptance criterion for other/unspecified impurities and/or by the general monograph *Substances for pharmaceutical use* (2034). It is therefore not necessary to identify these impurities for demonstration of compliance. See also 5.10. Control of impurities in substances for pharmaceutical use): A, B, D, H.



# Example: Prednisolone pivalate (0736)

Active substance for human use with a maximum daily dose  $\leq 2$  g

Monograph describes under related substances:

- $\checkmark$  Any impurity
  - for each impurity  $\leq 2.0$  %,
  - not more than one such peak  $\geq$  1.0 %
- ✓ Total ≤ 2.5 %
- ✓ Disregard limit 0.05%
- ✓ No Impurities section (transparency list)







#### Substances section of general monograph 2034

	Table 20341. – Reporting, identification and qualification of organic impurities in active substances					
	Use	Maximum daily dose	Reporting threshold	ldentification threshold	Qualification threshold	
	Human use or human and veterinary use	≤ 2 g/day	> 0.05 per cent	> 0.10 per cent or a daily intake of > 1.0 mg (whichever is the lower)	> 0.15 per cent or a daily intake of > 1.0 mg (whichever is the lower)	
	Human use or human and veterinary use	> 2 g/day	> 0.03 per cent	> 0.05 per cent	> 0.05 per cent	
©EDQM, Council of Europe, 20	Veterinary use only	Not applicable	> 0.10 per cent	> 0.20 per cent	> 0.50 per cent	

13



# 2034 Substances for pharmaceutical use

### **Related substances: some important statements**

- ✓ Specific thresholds may be applied for impurities known to be unusually potent or to produce toxic or unexpected pharmacological effects.
- ✓ If the individual monograph **does not provide** suitable control for a new impurity, a suitable test for control must be developed and included in the specification for the substance. (Directive 2001/83/EC, as amended)

#### Extract of the General Notices: 1.1.2.3 Demonstration of suitability of monographs

"The manufacturer must evaluate the suitability of the monograph for the quality control of their substance or medicinal product, since the choice of analytical procedures may be influenced by the manufacturing process and/or the composition of the medicinal product. In cases where the **specification described in a monograph is considered to be insufficient** to ensure the quality of the product or substance by a competent authority, the latter may request **more-appropriate specifications from the manufacturer** in line with national or regional regulations. In such cases, the competent authority informs the Ph. Eur. Commission through either the national pharmacopoeia authority or the Secretariat of the Ph. Eur. Commission (EDQM). The manufacturer is requested to provide the national pharmacopoeia authority or the EDQM with the **details of the alleged insufficiency and the additional specifications applied**, so that the Ph. Eur. Commission can decide on the need to revise the monograph in question."



# Identification of impurities (qualitative use)

Specified impurities and impurities used for the system suitability test (SST) must be identified in the chromatographic system, using:

### ✓ Reference standard (CRS)

- Impurity CRS
- Peak identification CRS
- System suitability CRS
- ✓ Reagent (R)
- ✓ Alternative approach: in situ degradation
  - Hydrolysis
  - Oxidation
  - Ring-closure
  - Z-E Isomerisation
  - Epimerisation

*Reference solution (c).* Dissolve 2 mg of *raltegravir impurity E CRS* in the solvent mixture and dilute to 20.0 mL with the solvent mixture. Dilute 1.0 mL of the solution to 50.0 mL with reference solution (a).

*Reference solution (d).* In order to prepare impurity C *in situ*, dissolve 20 mg of the substance to be examined in a 40 g/L solution of *sodium hydroxide* R and dilute to 10 mL with the same solvent. Stir the solution for 30 min. To 5 mL of the solution add 5 mL of a 103 g/L solution of *hydrochloric acid* R and dilute to 50 mL with the solvent mixture.

*Reference solution (e).* Dissolve 5 mg of *raltegravir for peak identification CRS* (containing impurities F and G) in 20 mL of the solvent mixture using sonication for 5 min. Add about 25 mL of the solvent mixture then dilute to 50 mL with the solvent mixture.



# **Identification of impurities (qualitative use)**

# Chromatograms might be provided in CRS leaflets

*Identification of impurities*: use the chromatogram obtained with reference solution (d) to identify the peak due to impurity C: use the chromatogram obtained with reference solution (c) to identify the peak due to impurity E; use the chromatogram supplied with *raltegravir for peak identification CRS* and the chromatogram obtained with reference solution (e) to identify the peaks due to impurities F and G.

Retention times and relative retention values are given <u>for information only</u>

*Relative retention* with reference to raltegravir (retention time = about 10 min): impurity C = about 0.7; impurity E = about 0.95; impurity G = about 1.1; impurity F = about 1.15.



LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY REPORT

Raltegravir for peak identification CRS 1





# **System suitability tests**

Revised chapter 2.2.46 published in 11<sup>th</sup> Edition



Resolution Peak-to-valley ratio

Symmetry factor 0.8 to 1.8 Minimum S/N 10 at reporting threshold\*

\* Calculation on a window of at least 5 times the peak width at half height.



# **System suitability test - Separation capacity (Selectivity)**

Defined to verify the separation or partial separation of a critical pair

### ✓ Resolution:

- generally below 5, but may be above if no other critical pair
- minimum resolution requirement should be  $\geq 1.5$

### ✓ Peak-to-valley ratio:

- when complete separation between 2 adjacent peaks cannot be achieved (i.e. Rs < 1.5)
- minimum p/v ratio requirement should be  $\geq$  1.5



What to do when the monograph describes a p/v ratio and baseline separation is achieved?

The peak-to-valley ratio cannot be calculated; <u>however</u> the requirement is fulfilled since the separation is even better than that prescribed by the monograph



# **Calculation of percentage contents**

## Option 1:

- using an external standard
- dilution of the test solution
- impurity itself
  - Service A servic

Calculation of percentage contents:

- *correction factor*: multiply the peak area of impurity C by 1.6;
- for each impurity, use the concentration of raltegravir potassium in reference solution (b).

*Reference solution (b).* Dilute 1.0 mL of the test solution to 100.0 mL with the solvent mixture. Dilute 1.0 mL of this solution to 10.0 mL with the solvent mixture.



Dilution of test solution consider response factor of impurities!

# ✓ Option 2: peak area normalisation ✤ To be avoided, whenever possible



<sup>21</sup> ©EDQM, Council of Europe, 2024. All rights reserved. All rights reserved.

# **Importance of correction factors**

### Example of different response factors: Ascorbic acid and impurity C





# **Response and correction factors**

- $\checkmark Response factors between 0.8 and 1.2 are considered negligible$
- ♦ No correction factors between 0.8 and 1.25 in monographs
- $\checkmark$  When correction factors are > 5



Importance of impurity sample!

- Up antification should be performed using impurities as external standards
- ✓ Calculation by comparing the response of the reference peak (used for quantitation) and the impurity peak by using either:
  - the mean of the area ratios over the whole range of linearity, or
  - the ratio of the slopes of the respective linearity regression equations

### More information: <u>Technical Guide</u> or <u>Pharmeuropa online</u> (Useful information)



# **Calculation of response and correction factors**

Response factor: sensitivity of a detector for a given substance relative to a standard substance

 $RRF = Ai/As \times Cs/Ci$ 

RRF = response factor

- Ai = area of the peak due to the impurity
- As = area of the peak due to the test substance
- Cs = concentration of the test substance in milligrams per millilitre
- Ci = concentration of the impurity in milligrams per millilitre.

Correction factor (CF): reciprocal value of response factor



# **Calculation of response and correction factors**

### **Important points to consider:**

- $\checkmark$  **Purity** of the impurity and the test substance
- Purity calculation:

Content (%) =  $[100 - (water + solvents)] \times \frac{\text{chromatographic purity (%)}}{100}$ 

- Form (base/acid or salt) of the impurity and the test substance
   If different, need for an additional correction factor for molecular mass ratio
- $\checkmark$  Perform the chromatography at the wavelength and flow rate defined in the monograph



# **Reporting threshold** (previously disregard limit)

✓ Limit above which an impurity should be reported (ICH Q3A)

### ✓ <u>2-fold purpose:</u>

- Decision criterion for the user whether a peak area or a corrected peak area of an impurity is to be included in the total of impurities
- General criterion for the user to determine compliance of his actual chromatographic system with the requirement of general chapter 2.2.46
   S/N ratio minimum 10 at the reporting threshold
   Limits:
   - impurity C: maximum 0.3 per cent;
  - *impurities E, F, G*: for each impurity, maximum 0.15 per cent;
  - unspecified impurities: for each impurity, maximum 0.10 per cent;
  - total: maximum 0.5 per cent;
  - reporting threshold: 0.05 per cent.



ICH Q3A R2 "Impurities in new drug substances"

# **System suitability test - Sensitivity**

Sensitivity must be verified for controlling impurities not only at their acceptance criterion, but down to the reporting threshold
 Addition of a sensitivity test for low responding impurities (RRF < 0.8)</li>

**Example:** Impurity X: Response factor 0.5 (i.e. CF of 2.0 stated in monograph) In case of limited sensitivity observed during validation, introduction of a sensitivity criterion:

- **Option 1:** dilution of test solution used: S/N ratio minimum 20 at reporting threshold  $(S/N \ge 10 \times CF \text{ of } 2 \Rightarrow S/N \ge 20)$
- **Option 2:** use of impurity X itself as external standard: S/N minimum 10 at reporting threshold



# Impurities in medicinal product monographs



#### Controlled

#### **Degradation products**

### **Impurities of synthesis**

- Arising during the manufacturing process and throughout shelf-life, including impurities of synthesis that are also degradation products
- Individual limit (for specified) or general acceptance criterion (for unspecified)
- Not controlled
- If detected by the procedure, they are included in the transparency list
- If present at a level greater than the reporting threshold, they are:
  - identified (e.g. using a reference standard or reagent) and
  - disregarded

ICH Q3B R2 "Impurities in new drug products" ICH Q6A "Specifications: Test procedures and acceptance criteria for new drug substances and new drug products: Chemical Substances"

# Impurities in medicinal product monographs





# **Specifications in monographs**





# **Specifications in monographs**

✓ Based on limits approved by competent authorities
 ✓ Based on representative batch and stability data



### **Example: Need for arbitration:**

Request for revision to include impurity X in an API monograph

- Approved limit: 0.2%
- Batch data (15x): 2x not detected / 3x 0.01% / 3x 0.02% / 1x 0.03% / 2x 0.04% / 1x 0.05% / 3x 0.06%
- Mean + 3sigma = 0.029% + 0.063 = 0.092%





#### Extract of the General Notices: 1.1.2.4 Validation and implementation of Ph. Eur. analytical procedures

"The analytical procedures given in an individual monograph have been validated in accordance with accepted scientific practice and recommendations on analytical validation. Unless otherwise stated in the individual monograph or in the corresponding general chapter, validation of these procedures by the user is not required. [...]

When implementing a Ph. Eur. analytical procedure, the user must assess whether and to what extent its suitability under the actual conditions of use needs to be demonstrated according to relevant monographs, general chapters and quality systems.."

# Chapter 5.26 Implementation of pharmacopoeial procedures

Implementation of a pharmacopoeial procedure is the process of demonstrating its suitability and applying it under the actual conditions of use in the implementing laboratory



Examples of implementation of pharmacopoeial procedures



32 ©EDQM, Council of Europe, 2024. All rights reserved. All rights reserved.

# **Implementation of pharmacopoeial procedures** 5.26

- Aim: to provide guidance on setting up an approach for implementation
- « For information » chapter; other approaches may be appropriate





# **Control of impurities in Ph. Eur.**





<sup>34</sup> ©EDQM, Council of Europe, 2024. All rights reserved. All rights reserved.

## Water/Residual solvents

### Water

### **Residual solvents**

In API monographs, most often controlled by:

- Semi-micro determination (volumetric Karl Fischer 2.5.12)
- Micro determination (coulometry 2.5.32)
- Loss on drying (2.2.32)

In medicinal product monographs: usually no test

• Controlled according to general text *5.4. Residual solvents* (reproduction of ICH Q3C) and general chapter *2.4.24. Identification and control of residual solvents* 

- ICH Q3C becomes legally binding through 2034 & 2619
- Test in individual API monographs:
  - Class 1 solvents are always named and limited
  - Class 2 solvents not included; limit set by option 2 (cf. 5.4)
  - Class 3 solvents are only named and limited when they exceed
     0.5%
- Class 3 solvents (volatile) may be controlled by LOD (up to 0.5%)



# **Control of impurities in Ph. Eur.**





<sup>36</sup> ©EDQM, Council of Europe, 2024. All rights reserved. All rights reserved.

# **Inorganics/Elemental impurities**





# **Elemental impurities**

### General monograph 2619 Pharmaceutical preparations:

### **"Elemental impurities**

General chapter *5.20. Elemental impurities* applies to pharmaceutical preparations except products for veterinary use, unlicensed preparations and other products that are excluded from the scope of this chapter. So ICH Q3D becomes legally binding

For pharmaceutical preparations outside the scope of general chapter *5.20*, manufacturers of these products remain responsible for controlling the levels of elemental impurities using the principles of risk management.

If appropriate, testing is performed using suitable analytical procedures according to general chapter *2.4.20. Determination of elemental impurities.*"

 $\bigcirc$  Classical heavy metal tests (2.4.8)  $\Rightarrow$  deleted from individual monographs:

- Since the 9<sup>th</sup> Edition for substances for both human and veterinary use
- As of the 11<sup>th</sup> Edition for substances for veterinary use only



# **Elemental impurities**

Specific elemental impurity tests  $\Rightarrow$  No systematic deletion from monographs

- ✓ Tests suppressed when elements have been "intentionally added", (i.e. reagents or catalysts used in synthesis)
- Tests remain when elements are of natural abundance which cannot be eliminated by purification (e.g. mined excipients)
  Elemental impurities Any method that fulfils the requirements of general chapter 2.4.20. Determination of



Elemental impurities. Any method that fulfils the requirements of general chapter 2.4.20. Determination of elemental impurities may be used.					
<u>Element</u>	<u>Maximum content (ppm)</u>				
Arsenic	2				
Lead	1				
Arsenic (2.4.2, Method A): maximum 4 ppm, determined on 5 mL of solution S.					
<b>Iron</b> (2.4.9): maximum 400 ppm.					

- $\checkmark$  Tests may remain when important to ensure the quality
- ✓ <u>Special cases:</u> *Methylthioninium chloride hydrate (1132)* (methylene blue) Elements may have an effect on therapeutic activity (API is a chelating agent)



<sup>39</sup> ©EDQM, Council of Europe, 2024. All rights reserved. All rights reserved.

# **Control of impurities in Ph. Eur.**





40 ©EDQM, Council of Europe, 2024. All rights reserved. All rights reserved.

# **DNA reactive (mutagenic) impurities**

### Ph. Eur. follows ICH M7:

- ✓ Reference to ICH M7 included in general monograph 2034 Substances for pharmaceutical use
- Tests are described when proof for genotoxicity is provided (e.g. Ames test, toxicological studies), NOT based solely on structural alerts
- ✓ Control tests in individual monographs are in:
  - Production section: when the technique is special or no specific test/limit is known
  - Tests section: when suitable procedure is available and limits are known

ICH M7 "Assessment and control of DNA reactive (mutagenic) impurities in pharmaceuticals to limit potential carcinogenic risk"



# Nitrosamines: general monographs 2034 & 2619

✓ Production: addition of a paragraph explaining the Ph. Eur. approach



✓ Approach defined based on the feedback from Heads of Medicines Agencies & European Medicines Agency groups as well as from National Competent Authorities of non-EU Ph. Eur. Member states

#### **2034** Substances for pharmaceutical use

"*N*-Nitrosamines. As many *N*-nitrosamines are classified as probable human carcinogens, manufacturers of active substances for human use are expected to evaluate the potential risk of *N*-nitrosamine formation and contamination occurring throughout their manufacturing process and during storage. If the risk is confirmed, manufacturers should mitigate as much as possible the presence of *N*-nitrosamines – for example by modifying the manufacturing process – and a control strategy should be implemented to detect and control these impurities. General chapter 2.5.42 *N*-Nitrosamines in active substances is available to assist manufacturers."

#### **2619** Pharmaceutical preparations

"N-Nitrosamines. As many N-nitrosamines are classified as probable human carcinogens, manufacturers of medicinal products, except products for veterinary use only and unlicensed pharmaceutical preparations, are expected to evaluate the potential risk of //-nitrosamine formation and contamination occurring throughout their manufacturing process and throughout their shelf-life, according to the requirements of the relevant competent authorities. If the risk is confirmed, manufacturers should mitigate as much as possible the presence of *N*-nitrosamines – for example by modifying the manufacturing process – and a control strategy must be implemented to detect and control these impurities. General chapter 2.5.42. *N-Nitrosamines in active substances* is available to assist manufacturers."



# Nitrosamines in Sartans: general chapter 2.5.42

*2.5.42. N-Nitrosamines in active substances*  • Detection of 7 nitrosamines in sartan active substances (NDMA, NDEA, NDBA, NMBA, NDIPA, NEIPA, NDPA)

- 7 nitrosamine reference standards available
- Adopted in November 2020, published on the EDQM website then in 10.6

### 2.5.42.

*N*-Nitrosamines in active substances and medicinal products

- Extension of the scope: inclusion of sartan-containing medicinal products in procedures A and C and both procedures can be applied as a quantitative test
- Adopted in November 2024, publication in 12.1 (July 2025)



Revised 12.1

# Nitrosamines: tackling the risk in individual monographs

- In general, nitrosamines covered by the general monographs 2034 & 2619
   No Production section, no test in Test section
  - Sartan monographs revised to delete the Production section (Publication in 11.6)
- ✓ <u>Other options:</u>
  - Keep/Introduce a test in Test section only if the presence of nitrosamines is confirmed, risk from the API manufacturing/stability
  - Use of an antioxidant

Solution The statement "a suitable antioxidant may be added" introduced in the Definition



# **Conclusions - Impurity Control in the Ph. Eur.**





45 ©EDQM, Council of Europe, 2024. All rights reserved. All rights reserved.

## Thank you for your attention



Stay connected with the EDQM

EDQM Newsletter: https://go.edqm.eu/Newsletter LinkedIn: https://www.linkedin.com/company/edqm/ Twitter: @edqm\_news Facebook: @edqmCouncilofEurope



©EDQM, Council of Europe, 2023. All rights reserved. All rights reserved.